

REMARKS

Claims 1, 3, 5 – 7, and 10 are pending in this application. Claims 2, 4, 8, 9, 11, and 12 have been canceled. Claim 1 has been amended to include all aspects of the allowable subject matter of claim 4, including intervening claim 2. Claim 1 has further been amended to provide for an antecedent basis for “said material.” Claim 3 has been amended to correct its dependency, since claim 2 has been canceled. Claim 5 has been amended to correct its dependency, since claim 4 has been canceled. Claim 7 has been amended to clarify the material indicated. Claim 10 has been amended to incorporate all aspects of claim 11, and the structural relationship between the cam and the container has been clarified. Therefore, no new matter has been added by the foregoing amendments.

The Examiner has indicated that claims 4 – 6 and 11 would be allowable if written to overcome the objections set forth and to include all limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Applicant thanks the Examiner for this indication.

In a Non-Final Office Action mailed December 28, 2007, the specification was objected to because of an informality. The specification has been amended to overcome this objection. Reconsideration and withdrawal is respectfully requested.

Claims 1 – 9, 11, and 12 have been objected to because of informalities. Claims 1 – 9, 11, and 12 have been amended or canceled to overcome these objections as explained above. Reconsideration and withdrawal is respectfully requested.

Claims 1 – 3 have been rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Mocha (US Patent No. 5,477,424, hereinafter “Mocha”). This rejection is respectfully traversed. Claim 1 has been amended to incorporate the allowable subject matter of claim 4 indicated by the Examiner. Therefore, amended independent claim 1 is believed to be allowable. Claim 3 is believed to be allowable at least by virtue of its dependence on claim 1. Reconsideration and withdrawal is respectfully requested.

Claims 8 and 9 have been rejected under 35 USC 102(e) as being anticipated by Currie et al. (US Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0105278, hereinafter “Currie et al.”). Claims 8 and 9 have been canceled.

Claim 10 has been rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Smith (US Patent No. 4,719,544, hereinafter "Smith"). This rejection is respectfully traversed. Claim 10 has been amended to incorporate the allowable subject matter of claim 11 indicated by the Examiner. Therefore, amended independent claim 10 is believed to be allowable. Reconsideration and withdrawal is respectfully requested.

Claim 12 has been rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Scharf, III (US Patent No. 5,842,766, hereinafter "Scharf"). Claim 12 has been canceled.

Claim 7 has been rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mocha. This rejection is respectfully traversed. Claim 1 has been amended to incorporate the allowable subject matter of claim 4 indicated by the Examiner. Therefore, amended independent claim 1 is believed to be allowable. Claim 7 is believed to be allowable at least by virtue of its dependence on claim 1. Reconsideration and withdrawal is respectfully requested.

In view of the above amendments and remarks, Applicant believes the pending application is in condition for allowance. Applicant believes no fee is due with this response. However, if a fee is due, please charge our Deposit Account No. 50-1848, under Order No. 021865.0104PTUS from which the undersigned is authorized to draw.

Respectfully submitted,
PATTON BOGGS LLP

Dated: March 28, 2008

By: /Robert P. Ziemian/
Robert P. Ziemian
Registration No.: 57,931
(303) 894-6330
(303) 894-9239 (Fax)
Attorney for Applicants

Customer No. 24283